COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE

Recurrent inhibition refines mental templates to optimize perceptual decisions

Ke Jia^{1,2,3,4}†*, Mengxin Wang⁴†, Cecilia Steinwurzel⁴, Joseph J. Ziminski⁴, Yinghua Xi⁵, Uzay Emir⁶, Zoe Kourtzi⁴*

Translating sensory inputs to perceptual decisions relies on building internal representations of features critical for solving complex tasks. Yet, we still lack a mechanistic account of how the brain forms these mental templates of task-relevant features to optimize decision-making. Here, we provide evidence for recurrent inhibition: an experience-dependent plasticity mechanism that refines mental templates by enhancing γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)-mediated (GABAergic) inhibition and recurrent processing in superficial visual cortex layers. We combine ultrahigh-field (7 T) functional magnetic resonance imaging at submillimeter resolution with magnetic resonance spectroscopy to investigate the fine-scale functional and neurochemical plasticity mechanisms for optimized perceptual decisions. We demonstrate that GABAergic inhibition increases following training on a visual (i.e., fine orientation) discrimination task, enhancing the discriminability of orientation representations in superficial visual cortex layers that are known to support recurrent processing. Modeling functional and neurochemical plasticity interactions reveals that recurrent inhibitory processing optimizes brain computations for perpetual decisions and adaptive behavior.

INTRODUCTION

Experience and training are known to mold the brain's structure and functions, facilitating optimal decision-making and skillful actions (1, 2). This experience-dependent plasticity has been shown to extend beyond early development to support the adult brain in translating sensory information to perceptual decisions (3, 4). For example, training is shown to facilitate discriminating fine feature differences (e.g., orientation and motion direction), complex patterns, and objects (5, 6). This ability—known as perceptual learning—is thought to rely on forming mental templates; that is, internal representations of features that are critical for task performance. Training has been suggested to support the brain's ability to refine these templates and support improved perceptual judgments (7-9). However, the fine-scale plasticity mechanisms that shape mental templates and support perceptual learning remain largely unresolved.

Computational studies provide some first insights, suggesting that training enhances neural tuning of task-relevant features by altering recurrent connections (e.g., increasing inhibitory connections) in visual cortex (10, 11). Here, we test the hypothesis that γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)-mediated (GABAergic) inhibition drives this recurrent learning-dependent plasticity. In particular, we test whether long-term training (across 5 days) on an orientation discrimination task boosts perceptual decisions by altering GABAergic inhibition and enhancing representations of task-relevant features Copyright © 2024 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

03

(i.e., trained orientations) in superficial V1 layers that are known to be involved in recurrent processing.

Unraveling fine-scale mechanisms of plasticity in the human brain is hampered by the spatial resolution of standard brain imaging techniques. To overcome these limitations, we introduce an ultrahigh-field (UHF; 7 T) multimodal brain imaging approach, combining magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) at submillimeter resolution. MRS allows us to measure inhibitory (GABA) and excitatory [glutamate (Glu)] neurotransmitter signals noninvasively in the human brain. UHF fMRI allows us to interrogate brain computations at a finer scale and trace brain activity across cortical depths (12); that is, middle layers known to be involved in input encoding, superficial layers known to be involved in recurrent processing via horizontal connections, or deeper and superficial layers known to be involved in feedback processing from higher cortical regions (13–16). We use information-based analyses of fMRI signals across cortical layers to capture mental templates of task-relevant features; that is, finetuned feature representations at the scale of multivoxel patterns. This approach allows us to test whether training (i) enhances feature discriminability (i.e., representation distance between trained versus untrained features) or (ii) reduces representation variance across trials.

Our results provide experimental evidence that recurrent inhibition refines mental templates to optimize perceptual decisions. First, we show that training alters orientation-specific representations in superficial (rather than middle or deeper) V1 layers by enhancing the representation distance (i.e., increasing discriminability of trained versus untrained representations) rather than reducing representation variance for the trained orientation. These refined mental templates represent familiar orientations in a fine-tuned manner and relate to improved perceptual discrimination. Second, we demonstrate that training increases GABAergic inhibition—as measured by MRS—in early visual cortex that relates to behavioral improvement. Modeling interactions across multimodal UHF imaging signals provides a mechanistic account of recurrent inhibition

Check for updates

¹Affiliated Mental Health Center and Hangzhou Seventh People's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310013, China. ²Liangzhu Laboratory, MOE Frontier Science Center for Brain Science and Brain-machine Integration, State Key Laboratory of Brain-machine Intelligence, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 311121, China. ³NHC and CAMS Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China. ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EB, UK. ⁵Interdisciplinary Institute of Neuroscience and Technology, Key Laboratory for Biomedical Engineering of Ministry of Education, College of Biomedical Engineering and Instrument Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China. ⁶Purdue University School of Health Sciences, West Lafayette, IN 47906, USA.

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: zk240@cam.ac.uk (Z.K.); kjia@zju.edu.cn (K.J.) †These authors contributed equally to this work.

that links experience-dependent plasticity across functional and neurochemical levels to adaptive behavior. That is, training alters GABAergic inhibition, enhancing stimulus-specific representations (i.e., representation distance) in superficial V1 layers and unraveling human brain circuit mechanisms for perceptual plasticity and adaptive behavior at unprecedented resolution.

RESULTS

Training improves performance in orientation discrimination

We trained participants (n = 29) on an orientation discrimination task (17, 18) for five consecutive days and tested their performance on the same task before and after training (Fig. 1, A and B). Participants' performance improved during training (Fig. 1C), as indicated by a significant decrease ($t_{28} = 7.307$, P < 0.001; paired *t* test) in threshold (79.4% correct using three-down-one-up staircase) after versus before training.

To determine whether the training effect was specific to the trained orientation, we compared participants' discrimination thresholds for two different orientations (i.e., trained versus untrained orientations that corresponded to 55° or 125°) before and after training. A two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) (orientation × session) showed a significant two-way interaction ($F_{1,28} = 12.338$, P = 0.002; Fig. 1D). Post hoc comparisons showed significant improvement for both the trained ($t_{28} = 8.914$, P < 0.001; paired *t* test) and untrained ($t_{28} = 3.995$, P < 0.001; paired *t* test) orientations. Further, to quantify behavioral improvement due



Fig. 1. Experimental design, task, and behavioral results. (**A**) Experimental design. Participants were trained on an orientation discrimination task with feedback for five consecutive days. Before and after training, we measured participants' performance on the same task without feedback during behavioral testing and fMRI scanning. (**B**) Orientation discrimination task. For each trial, participants were asked to report whether the second grating was tilted clockwise or counterclockwise relative to the first grating. (**C**) Mean performance across participants at 79.4% threshold across training sessions. (**D**) Mean threshold performance before and after training. Error bars indicate SEM across participants.

to training, we calculated a mean percent improvement index (19) for each orientation [mean improvement index (MPI) = (pretest threshold – posttest threshold)/pretest threshold × 100%]. We observed that MPI was significantly higher for the trained compared to the untrained orientations ($t_{28} = 4.986$, P < 0.001; paired t test). Together, these results suggest higher improvement for the trained orientation, consistent with previous work [e.g., (20)].

Training enhances stimulus-specific representations in superficial V1 layers

We have previously shown that training results in layer-specific changes in orientation representations in V1; that is, training alters orientation processing in superficial rather than middle or deeper layers of V1 (21). However, the mechanisms that underlie this layer-specific perceptual plasticity remain unknown. Here, we ask whether training facilitates perceptual processing in superficial V1 layers by enhancing the stimulus representation (i.e., increased mean representation distance across orientations) (22-24) or reducing representation variance (i.e., decreased mean distance across blocks for each orientation) (3, 25).

First, we corroborated our previous findings showing enhanced orientation-specific representations in superficial V1 layers after training (21), providing a replication in an independent sample (fig. S1). In particular, we used multivoxel pattern analysis (MVPA) to test whether training enhances orientation-specific information across cortical layers. We segmented visual areas by assigning voxels to three layers (superficial, middle, and deeper) using an equivolume approach (Supplementary Text, "Anatomical data analyses" subsection). To improve the spatial specificity of the laminar profiles and control for vasculature-related confounds, we removed voxels that were identified as containing large veins and conducted additional control analyses. Next, we trained linear classifiers to distinguish between (i) trained (55° or 125°) versus control (0°) orientations and (ii) untrained (125° or 55°) versus control (0°) orientations before and after training.

Our results demonstrate learning-dependent changes (i.e., increased MVPA accuracy) for the trained orientation in superficial (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA; n = 28; session × orientation interaction, $F_{1,27} = 9.162$, P = 0.005, permutation test: P = 0.001), rather than middle ($F_{1,27} = 0.531$, P = 0.473, permutation test: P = 0.453) or deeper ($F_{1,27} = 1.884$, P = 0.181, permutation test: P = 0.185) V1 layers. Post hoc comparisons showed enhanced discriminability (i.e., MVPA accuracy) after versus before training for the trained ($t_{27} = -2.198$, P = 0.037, permutation test: P = 0.026) compared to the untrained ($t_{27} = 0.929$, P = 0.361, permutation test: P = 0.368) orientation in superficial layers but not middle (trained orientation: $t_{27} = 0.159$, P = 0.875, permutation test: P = 0.844; untrained orientation: $t_{27} = 0.957$, P = 0.347, permutation test: P = 0.386) or deeper (trained orientation: $t_{27} = -1.011$, P = 0.321, permutation test: P = 0.300; untrained orientation: $t_{27} = 0.760$, P = 0.454, permutation test: P = 0.422) layers. These results remained significant in superficial layers [Fig. 2; session × orientation $(F_{1,27} = 6.485, P = 0.017, \text{ permutation test: } P = 0.018)$; trained orientation: $t_{27} = -2.301$, P = 0.029, permutation test: P = 0.028; untrained orientation: $t_{27} = 0.715$, P = 0.481, permutation test: P = 0.470 when we unmixed the signal from adjacent layers to control for potential draining vein effects (Supplementary Text, "Correcting for vasculature-related effects" subsection). Further, it is

unlikely that these results were confounded by mean normalized fMRI responses (Supplementary Text, "Univariate analysis" subsection) and number of voxels used in the MVPA (fig. S2).

Second, we asked whether increased MVPA accuracy for the trained orientation reflects enhanced representation distance (22-24) or reduced representation variance (3, 25). We estimated the representation distance versus variance in stimulus processing using a Mahalanobis distance analysis. In particular, we estimated the following: (i) representation distance (Fig. 3A), as indicated by crosscondition Mahalanobis distance; that is, mean distance of each block of trained or untrained orientation to the distribution of the control orientation blocks and (ii) representation variance (Fig. 3B), as indicated by within-condition Mahalanobis distances; that is, mean distance of each block of the trained or untrained orientation to all the other blocks of trained or untrained orientations. We reasoned that if training enhances stimulus representation distance, then we would observe increased cross-condition distance between trained and control orientations after training. In contrast, if training reduces representation variance, then we would observe reduced within-condition distance for the trained orientation.

Our results demonstrate that training leads to representation distance enhancement rather than variance reduction in superficial V1 layers. In particular, a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA on representation distance showed a significant session × orientation interaction ($F_{1,27} = 5.328$, P = 0.029, permutation test: P = 0.025) in superficial (Fig. 3C) but not middle ($F_{1,27} = 0.077$, P = 0.783, permutation test: P = 0.813) nor deeper ($F_{1,27} = 0.066$, P = 0.800, permutation test: P = 0.799) V1 layers. Post hoc comparisons showed significantly enhanced representation distance for the trained (t_{27} = -2.130, P = 0.042, permutation test: P = 0.026) compared to the untrained orientation ($t_{27} = -0.478$, P = 0.637, permutation test: P = 0.622) in superficial V1 layers. In contrast, we did not observe significant changes for representation variance (session \times orientation interaction: superficial layers, $F_{1,27} = 0.121$, P = 0.730, permutation test: P = 0.780, Fig. 3D; middle layers, $F_{1,27} = 0.193$, P = 0.664, permutation test: P = 0.865; deeper layers, $F_{1,27} = 0.168$, P = 0.685, permutation test: P = 0.717).

Further, we calculated the ratio of representation distance to variance (distance/variance) for the trained and untrained orientations (i.e., ratio of cross-condition distance to within-condition distance) before and after training. This ratio provides a robust measure of stimulus discriminability, as it indicates the distance between trained



Fig. 2. MVPA before and after training across cortical depths in V1. MVPA accuracy (pattern size = 300 voxels) across cortical depths in V1 (superficial, middle, and deeper layers) for the trained (\mathbf{A}) and untrained (\mathbf{B}) orientations following correction of vasculature-related effects and unmixing of signals from adjacent layers. Error bars indicate SEM across participants.

(or untrained) and control orientation distributions, taking into account the variability of both orientation (e.g., trained versus control) distributions. A ratio larger than 1 indicates that a given data point for the trained (or untrained) orientation in multidimensional space is closer to the trained (or untrained) orientation distribution compared to the control orientation distribution. A two-way repeated-measures ANOVA on representation distance/variance showed a significant session × orientation interaction in superficial ($F_{1,27} = 5.645$, P = 0.025, permutation test: P = 0.029) but not middle ($F_{1,27} = 0.359$, P = 0.554, permutation test: P = 0.536) nor deeper ($F_{1,27} = 0.361$, P = 0.553, permutation test: P = 0.572) V1 layers (Fig. 3E). Post hoc comparisons showed enhanced representation distance/variance for the trained ($t_{27} = -2.267$, P = 0.032, permutation test: P = 0.680, permutation test: P = 0.672) in superficial V1 layers.

We next estimated the MPI for representation distance, variance, and distance/variance to account for variability in the pretraining data (fig. S3). We observed enhanced distance (trained orientation, $t_{27} = 2.677, P = 0.012$; untrained orientation, $t_{27} = 1.111, P = 0.277$) and distance/variance (trained orientation, $t_{27} = 2.556$, P = 0.017; untrained orientation, $t_{27} = 0.998$, P = 0.327) for the trained orientation but no significant changes in representation variance (trained orientation, $t_{27} = 0.979$, P = 0.336; untrained orientation, $t_{27} =$ 0.915, P = 0.368). These results suggest that learning enhances the representation of the trained orientation in superficial V1 layers, independent of any differences in representation variance for different orientations (i.e., trained, untrained, or control orientation). Last, we did not observe any significant differences in representation distance ($t_{27} = 0.444$, P = 0.661, permutation test: P = 0.676), variance $(t_{27} = 0.357, P = 0.724, \text{ permutation test: } P = 0.864), \text{ nor distance}/$ variance ($t_{27} = 0.108$, P = 0.915, permutation test: P = 0.970) in the pretraining session, suggesting that the learning-dependent changes we observed could not be explained simply by differences before training. Together, our results suggest that training enhances stimulus-specific representations in V1 superficial layers by increasing representation distance between orientations, rather than decreasing representation variance as measured at the scale of submillimeter voxel patterns.

Training alters GABAergic inhibition in early visual cortex

Using MRS measurements of GABA and Glu, we demonstrate that training alters inhibition processes in early visual cortex (Fig. 4, A and B). In particular, training significantly increased GABA [referenced to total creatine (tCr): $t_{24} = -2.134$, P = 0.043, paired *t* test; Fig. 4C] but did not significantly change Glu ($t_{24} = -0.689$, P = 0.497, paired *t* test; Fig. 4D) concentrations in early visual cortex. Note that limitations in MRS spatial resolution and the MRS voxel placement result in differences in the coverage of the MRS woxel in relation to the V1 region of interest (ROI). To relate MRS measurements to V1 processing, for each participant, we normalized GABA and Glu concentrations to the spatial overlap of the MRS voxel with the V1 ROI.

We next tested for learning-dependent changes in the MPI for GABA and Glu to account for variability in the pretraining data. We observed similar results; that is, learning-dependent increase in GABA ($t_{24} = 2.355$, P = 0.027) but not Glu ($t_{24} = 0.970$, P = 0.342). These learning-dependent changes in GABA remained significant when we (i) referenced GABA and Glu to water (GABA: $t_{24} = 2.098$, P = 0.047; Glu: $t_{24} = 0.078$, P = 0.939); (ii) controlled for voxel tissue composition using alpha correction (GABA: $t_{24} = 2.314$, P = 0.030;



Fig. 3. Representation distance and variance analysis. (A) Schematic illustration of the representation distance, that is, mean Mahalanobis distance of each block of trained or untrained orientation to the distribution of the control orientation blocks. (B) Schematic illustration of the representation variance, that is, mean Mahalanobis distance of each block of trained or untrained orientation to all the other blocks of trained or untrained representations. (C) Representation distance (arbitrary unit, a.u.) in superficial V1 layers for the trained and untrained orientations. (D) Representation variance (arbitrary unit, a.u.) in superficial V1 layers for the trained, untrained, and control orientations. (E) Representation distance/variance in superficial V1 layers for the trained and untrained orientations. Error bars indicate SEM across participants.

Glu: $t_{24} = 0.965$, P = 0.344); (iii) or by dividing GABA and Glu concentration by 1–fCSF (fraction of cerebrospinal fluid) (GABA: $t_{24} = 2.334$, P = 0.028; Glu: $t_{24} = 1.001$, P = 0.327). Note that no significant differences were observed across sessions in data quality measures or the overlap between MRS voxel and V1 ROI (fig. S4 and table S1). Further, the learning-dependent changes we observed in GABA are unlikely to reflect variations in attention to the task, as the task performance was maintained at ~79.4% across sessions, using staircase-based training.

Further, previous studies and computational models have suggested that both inhibitory and excitatory processes contribute to orientation selectivity in V1 (*10*, *26*, *27*). In particular, GABA/Glu has been shown to relate to behavioral improvement in visual discrimination tasks (*28*, *29*). In light of this previous work, we computed MPI of GABA/Glu ([posttraining ratio – pretraining ratio]/ pretraining ratio × 100%) to capture the role of both inhibitory and excitatory neurotransmitters in learning. We observed significantly higher GABA/Glu after training ($t_{24} = 2.213$, P = 0.037; Fig. 4E), suggesting that training alters inhibition/excitation in early visual cortex.

Last, we conducted a control experiment measuring MRS GABA and Glu concentrations in early visual cortex in two sessions (pretest and posttest) without behavioral training in between. Our results did not show significant changes between sessions (GABA: $t_8 =$ -1.094, P = 0.306; Glu: $t_8 = -0.781$, P = 0.457; GABA/Glu: $t_8 =$ -1.078, P = 0.313; paired t test), suggesting that the increase we observed in GABA after training could not be simply due to repeated measurements over time. This is consistent with our previous findings showing learning-dependent changes in MRS-GABA within (30) and across (31) sessions, compared to lack of significant changes between MRS measurements when no training is involved.

Linking functional and neurochemical plasticity to behavioral improvement

We next asked whether functional and neurochemical plasticity mechanisms interact to predict behavioral improvement. First, following previous work (28, 29), we tested the relationship between learning-dependent changes in GABA/Glu and behavioral improvement. Pearson correlation analyses showed a significant positive relationship between learning-dependent changes in behavior and GABA/Glu (r = 0.456, P = 0.029, two bivariate outliers) after controlling for the overlap between the MRS voxel and the V1 ROI (Fig. 5A). This positive relationship remained significant when we (i) controlled for behavioral improvement for the untrained orientation (r = 0.486, P = 0.022), (ii) controlled for behavioral performance in the pretraining session (r = 0.604, P = 0.004), and (iii) tested for correlation with GABA rather than GABA/Glu (r = 0.485, P = 0.026). In contrast, we did not observe significant correlations between GABA changes and behavioral improvement for the untrained orientation (r = 0.289, P = 0.181).

Second, we show that learning-dependent changes in GABA/Glu relate to layer-specific changes in orientation-specific representations in V1. In particular, Pearson correlation analyses showed a significant positive relationship between learning-dependent changes in GABA/Glu and representation distance/variance in superficial V1 layers (r = 0.580, P = 0.005, one bivariate outlier) after controlling for variability in the overlap between the MRS voxel and the V1 ROI across participants, and the representation changes for the untrained orientation. This correlation remained significant when we (i) controlled for changes in representation distance/ variance in middle (r = 0.447, P = 0.042) or deeper (r = 0.579, P = 0.006) layers, (ii) tested for correlation with representation distance rather than distance/variance (r = 0.552, P = 0.008), and (iii) tested for correlation with changes in GABA rather than GABA/Glu (r = 0.462, P = 0.030). These results suggest a strong link between enhancement of orientation-specific representations in superficial layers and GABAergic inhibition.

Third, we show that learning-dependent changes in orientationspecific representations in superficial V1 layers relate to behavioral improvement. In particular, Pearson correlation analyses showed a significant positive relationship of changes in representation distance/variance with behavioral improvement for the trained orientation in superficial (r = 0.393, P = 0.047) but not middle (r = 0.165, P = 0.422) nor deeper (r = 0.061, P = 0.768) layers, after controlling for changes in the untrained orientation. Further, the positive relationship remained significant when we (i) controlled for behavioral performance in the pretraining session (r = 0.411, P = 0.041) and (ii) tested for correlation with representation distance rather than distance/variance (r = 0.401, P = 0.047). In contrast, we did not observe significant correlations between behavioral improvement for the untrained orientation and changes in representation distance/ variance for the trained orientation (superficial V1 layers: r = 0.176, P = 0.388).

Further, to test whether the changes we observed were due to training rather than potential differences in measurements across sessions (e.g., related to MRI data quality or participant state), we calculated a learning modulation index (LMI) that contrasts



Fig. 4. MRS-measured GABA and Glu. (A) MRS voxel placement. The MRS voxel was positioned in the right V1 using anatomical landmarks (parallel to the calcarine sulcus) on the acquired T1 scan to ensure that voxel placement was consistent across participants and sessions. (B) MRS spectra. Example MRS spectra from early visual cortex for one participant, showing the GABA and Glu fit using LCModel. (C) MRS-measured GABA (referenced to tCr) in pretest and posttest sessions. (D) MRS-measured Glu (referenced to tCr) in pretest and posttest sessions. (E) MRS-measured GABA/Glu in pretest and posttest sessions. Error bars indicate SEM across participants.

differences across sessions for the trained against the untrained orientation. In particular, LMI for representation distance/variance was calculated as: [posttest ratio for trained orientation - pretest ratio for trained orientation] - [posttest ratio for untrained orientation – pretest ratio for untrained orientation] (17). Note that LMI extends beyond MPI that captures only differences across sessions for the trained orientation. We observed a significant positive relationship between learning-dependent changes in LMI for representation distance/variance in superficial V1 layers and GABA/Glu (Fig. 5B; r = 0.452, P = 0.030, one bivariate outlier) after controlling for variability in the overlap between the MRS voxel and the V1 ROI across participants. This relationship remained significant when we tested for correlation with LMI for distance (r = 0.449, P = 0.031) rather than distance/variance. Further, we observed a significant positive relationship of LMI for distance/variance with behavioral improvement (Fig. 5C) in superficial (r = 0.481, P = 0.010) but not middle (r = 0.052, P = 0.791) nor deeper (r = 0.228, P = 0.243) layers. This relationship remained significant when we (i) tested for correlation with LMI for distance (r = 0.411, P = 0.030) rather than distance/variance and (ii) controlled for behavioral improvement for the untrained orientation (r = 0.428, P = 0.026).

Last, mediation analysis showed that learning-dependent changes in GABAergic inhibition drive behavioral improvement by enhancing orientation-specific representations in V1 superficial layers. In particular, mediation analysis (GABA/Glu; distance/variance in V1 superficial layers, behavioral improvement) showed a significant total effect c = 0.477, z = 2.879, P = 0.004, confidence interval (CI) = [0.152, 0.802] due to an indirect significant effect of distance/

Jia et al., Sci. Adv. 10, eado7378 (2024) 31 July 2024

variance (*ab* = 0.189, *z* = 2.316, *P* = 0.021, CI = [0.029, 0.350]). No significant direct effect of GABA/Glu change to behavioral improvement was observed (c' = 0.288, z = 1.523, P = 0.128, CI = [-0.082, c]0.658]) (Fig. 5D). Further, the mediation effect remained significant when we (i) tested for GABA rather than GABA/Glu (ab = 0.150, z = 2.299, P = 0.021, CI = [0.022, 0.278] and (ii) controlled for behavioral improvement for the untrained orientation (ab = 0.191, z = 2.152, P = 0.031, CI = [0.017, 0.365]). The mediation effect was not significant for middle (ab = -0.005, z = -0.100, P = 0.920, CI = [-0.102, 0.092]) or deeper (ab = -0.018, z = -0.221, P = 0.825, z = -0.221, P = 0.225, z = -0.225, z =CI = [-0.176, 0.141]) V1 layers. These results suggest a key role of GABAergic inhibition in enhancing orientation-specific representations in superficial layers in primary visual cortex for improved fine discriminations; that is, increased inhibition drives behavioral improvement by enhancing the discriminability of the trained orientation in superficial visual cortex layers.

DISCUSSION

We propose a recurrent inhibition plasticity mechanism that refines task-relevant feature templates to support our ability for optimized perceptual decisions through training. In particular, we use an UHF multimodal brain imaging approach to investigate at unprecedented resolution the interactions of neurochemical and functional plasticity mechanisms that support our ability to translate sensory information to perceptual decisions. First, we leverage the submillimeter resolution of 7-T laminar fMRI to interrogate plasticity mechanisms across cortical depths that are known to be associated with dissociable neural computations. Our findings provide evidence for recurrent experience-dependent plasticity that amplifies the representation distance between orientations in V1 superficial layers enhancing the discriminability of trained orientations, rather than reducing representation variance. Second, we demonstrate that training results in increased GABAergic inhibition-as measured by MRS-in early visual cortex that relates to behavioral improvement. Modeling neurochemical and functional plasticity interactions reveals that training alters GABAergic inhibition in visual cortex that drives improved perceptual judgments by strengthening orientationspecific representations (i.e., discriminability of the trained orientation as indicated by representation distance) in superficial V1 layers. Together, our findings provide evidence for recurrent inhibition as an integrative experience-dependent plasticity mechanism that optimizes the neural code for perceptual decisions.

First, previous studies have shown that training enhances the representation of task-relevant features at the level of neural populations (21, 24, 32). Extending beyond this work, we have recently shown that training alters orientation-specific representations in superficial layers of primary visual cortex (21), suggesting that training alters recurrent processing rather than local information encoding or feedback from higher decision-related regions. However, the mechanisms underlying this recurrent functional plasticity remain unknown. Here, we combine UHF fMRI with information-based analysis (i.e., multivoxel pattern classification) to test competing hypotheses; that is, training (i) enhances distinctive representations for the trained compared to untrained orientations as measured at the level of large neural populations by multivoxel patterns and (ii) decreases the variance in orientation-specific representations. We corroborate our previous results, showing learning-dependent changes in superficial-rather than middle or deeper-V1 layers for the



Fig. 5. Linking functional and neurochemical plasticity to behavioral improvement. (A) Skipped Pearson's correlation showing a significant positive correlation of GABA/Glu change in early visual cortex with behavioral improvement. (B) Skipped Pearson's correlation showing a significant positive correlation of GABA/Glu change with representation distance/variance change in V1 superficial layers. (C) Skipped Pearson's correlation showing a significant positive correlation of representation distance/variance change in V1 superficial layers with behavioral improvement. (D) Mediation analysis showing that increased GABA/Glu drives behavioral improvement by enhancing the discriminability of the trained stimulus in superficial V1 layers.

trained compared to untrained orientations in an independent sample. Extending beyond this finding, we provide evidence that this recurrent functional plasticity relates to enhanced representation distance for the trained orientation in the multivoxel pattern space, consistent with previous neurophysiology studies showing that signal enhancement, rather than internal noise reduction, plays a key role in perceptual learning (23, 24). Further, we demonstrate that these refined orientation-specific representations relate to behavioral improvement, consistent with the role of training in reweighting sensory information to optimize perceptual decisions (22, 33–35).

Second, we test the role of GABAergic inhibition in driving layerspecific functional plasticity and shaping orientation-specific representations. Our results demonstrate increased GABAergic inhibition in early visual cortex following extensive (over 5 days) discrimination training. Further, we demonstrate that increased GABA relates to improved perceptual judgments, consistent with previous studies linking GABAergic inhibition to performance and learning in perceptual (visual discrimination) and motor tasks (30, 36-41). Note that MRS captures neurochemical plasticity related to the visual discrimination task before and after training rather than specifically to the trained orientation. Recent developments in functional MRS may provide further insights into stimulus-specific GABAergic inhibition (i.e., trained versus untrained orientation) (42, 43).

Third, we provide evidence that GABAergic plasticity shapes layer-specific functional plasticity. Combining MRS with UHF fMRI suggests that GABAergic inhibition drives improved perceptual predict enhanced representation distance for the trained orientation in superficial—rather than middle or deeper—V1 layers. These GABAergic-driven changes in recurrent visual processing that are primarily associated with superficial V1 layers propose a recurrent inhibition mechanism of learning-dependent plasticity for optimizing perceptual judgments. Future advances in magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging may afford higher spatial resolution and support a tighter link between MRS and fMRI signals.

Our results are consistent with previous neurophysiological studies linking GABAergic inhibition (44) and interneurons (please cite the paper in the comments section here) to cortical tuning and pharmacological interventions showing that GABA agonists enhance orientation selectivity in the visual cortex, while blocking GABAergic inhibition results in broader neural tuning (45, 46). Further, previous studies have suggested that learning-dependent changes in superficial V1 layers are due to cross-orientation inhibition (10, 21), that is, suppression of neurons that are selective for similar orientations across columns. Cross-orientation inhibition is shown to be more pronounced in superficial layers and support orientation tuning via horizontal connections between V1 columns (47–50). Thus, training may enhance neural tuning by inhibiting orientations close to the trained orientation in superficial V1 layers. These results in support of recurrent inhibitory processing via horizontal connections are consistent with computational modeling proposing that training sharpens neural tuning by modifying recurrent connections (e.g., increasing inhibitory connections) near the trained orientation.

It is important to note that our MRS measurements captured GABAergic inhibition before versus after training rather than during learning. Understanding the dynamics of inhibition and excitation during learning and how they contribute to behavioral improvement remains an open question. Previous imaging studies showed that training and overtraining changes GABA/Glu in the short term, suggesting increased GABAergic inhibition early in the training that then returns to baseline levels within hours (28, 29). Recent neurophysiological studies showed that longer-term training (over 9 days) on a discrimination task resulted in increased stimulus selectivity in parvalbumin GABAergic interneurons and pyramidal cells, suggesting that changes in activity of GABAergic interneurons is observed following consolidation of learning (i.e., after behavioral performance has saturated, similar to our findings) (51, 52). Future work, introducing measurements during training (i.e., shorter-versus longer-term timescales), is needed to understand the dynamics of recurrent inhibition during learning.

In sum, our results provide evidence for a recurrent inhibitory plasticity mechanism for perceptual learning. Combining multimodal UHF brain imaging with information-based analysis, we reveal a key role for recurrent inhibition in refining information processing for optimized perceptual decisions. Training refines mental templates by fine-tuning the representation of task diagnostic features (i.e., trained orientation), suppressing similar orientations across cortical columns via horizontal connections in superficial layers of primary visual cortex. Uncovering this multimodal plasticity mechanisms at the intersection of neurochemical and functional signals provides insights in bridging the knowledge gap between animal and human brain circuits that support learning and adaptive behavior.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Experimental design Participants

Thirty participants (mean age, 22.47 years and SD, 3.27 years) took part in the study. Data from one participant was excluded because of technical problems during data acquisition. All participants were right-handed, had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, were not under any prescription medication, and gave written informed consent. Participants were naive to the aim of the study and received payment for their participation. All experiments were approved by University of Cambridge Ethics Committee (PRE.2017.057).

Stimuli

Stimuli comprised oriented sinusoidal gratings that were presented at an eccentricity of 5° against a uniform gray background. Gratings of random phase had a fixed diameter of 4°, contrast of 0.8, and spatial frequency of 1 cycle/deg. The contrast decreased to zero over the outer 0.5° radius of the gratings. The stimuli were presented in the left visual field, as data were collected from a unilateral MRS voxel in the right hemisphere.

Experimental procedure

The study comprised a pretest (two sessions, one behavioral test, and one fMRI test), a training (five sessions), and a posttest (two sessions, one behavioral test, one fMRI test) phase (Fig. 1A). Each session was completed on a separate day. Participants performed a two-interval forced choice orientation discrimination task. Participants' performance in the task was measured using a three-down-one-up staircase with 15 reversals converging at 79.4% performance. We trained participants with feedback on the orientation discrimination task presenting gratings at the same orientation and location and tested the participants without feedback. Before and after training in the laboratory, participants performed the orientation discrimination task during functional MRI and MRS data acquisition without feedback.

Functional scans were acquired using a two-dimensional gradient-echo echo-planar imaging (GE-EPI) sequence (53) at submillimeter resolution (0.8 mm isotropic) and field of view covering occipitotemporal and posterior areas. MRS data were acquired using a semilocalization by adiabatic selective refocusing (semi-LASER) sequence. The MRS voxel (15 mm isotropic) was positioned in right early visual cortex, parallel to the calcarine sulcus, retinotopically mapped with the stimulus location (i.e., left visual field), avoiding proximity to the dura to minimize macromolecule contamination. To ensure consistent voxel placement across sessions and participants, the MRS voxel was manually positioned on the basis of each participant's T1w anatomical image using anatomical landmarks (e.g., calcarine sulcus). Voxel position was similar across sessions (mean absolute difference in position between voxel center, X: M = 0.68 mm, SD = 0.68 mm; Y: M = 1.25 mm, SD = 1.15 mm; Z: M = 1.14 mm, SD = 0.72 mm). The mean gray matter (GM) tissue fraction for pretraining and posttraining was 41.61 and 41.30%. GM tissue content did not differ significantly between sessions, paired *t* test, $t_{23} = 0.378$, P = 0.709.

Statistical analysis

Repeated-measures ANOVA was used to assess differences across conditions, for behavioral, fMRI (MVPA), and MRS data. For fMRI (MVPA) data, ANOVA results were corroborated by permutation tests that have been shown to be more appropriate for comparing classification accuracy across conditions (54, 55). We evaluated correlations between fMRI, MRS, and behavioral indices using Pearson's correlation after outlier exclusion [bivariate outliers were identified using the Robust Correlation Toolbox (56)]. In particular, bivariate outliers were detected using the box-plot rule on *z*-scored values: The algorithm calculates orthogonal distances of all data points from the center of the bivariate distribution and marks as outliers data points with distances that exceed the interquartile range (*37*). Correlation and mediation analysis was conducted with JASP v0.17.1.

Supplementary Materials

This PDF file includes: Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S4 Tables S1 and S2 References

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- K. P. Berry, E. Nedivi, Experience-dependent structural plasticity in the visual system. Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci. 2, 17–35 (2016).
- 2. C. D. Gilbert, W. Li, Adult visual cortical plasticity. Neuron 75, 250–264 (2012).

- B. Dosher, Z.-L. Lu, Visual perceptual learning and models. Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci. 3, 343–363 (2017).
- C. T. Law, J. I. Gold, Shared mechanisms of perceptual learning and decision making. *Top. Cogn. Sci.* 2, 226–238 (2010).
- Z. Kourtzi, J. J. DiCarlo, Learning and neural plasticity in visual object recognition. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 16, 152–158 (2006).
- 6. D. Sagi, Perceptual learning in vision research. Vision Res. 51, 1552–1566 (2011).
- R. W. Li, D. M. Levi, S. A. Klein, Perceptual learning improves efficiency by re-tuning the decision 'template' for position discrimination. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 178–183 (2004).
- S.-G. Kuai, D. Levi, Z. Kourtzi, Learning optimizes decision templates in the human visual cortex. *Curr. Biol.* 23, 1799–1804 (2013).
- K. Jia, P. Frangou, V. M. Karlaftis, J. J. Ziminski, J. Giorgio, R. Rideaux, E. Zamboni, V. Hodgson, U. Emir, Z. Kourtzi, Neurochemical and functional interactions for improved perceptual decisions through training. *J. Neurophysiol.* **127**, 900–912 (2022).
- A. F. Teich, N. Qian, Learning and adaptation in a recurrent model of V1 orientation selectivity. J. Neurophysiol. 89, 2086–2100 (2003).
- L. Schwabe, K. Obermayer, Adaptivity of tuning functions in a generic recurrent network model of a cortical hypercolumn. J. Neurosci. 25, 3323–3332 (2005).
- 12. J. Goense, Y. Bohraus, N. K. Logothetis, fMRI at high spatial resolution: Implications for BOLD-models. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* **10**, 66 (2016).
- J. Yang, L. Huber, Y. Yu, P. A. Bandettini, Linking cortical circuit models to human cognition with laminar fMRI. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 128, 467–478 (2021).
- K. Jia, R. Goebel, Z. Kourtzi, Ultra-high field imaging of human visual cognition. Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci. 9, 479–500 (2023).
- M. W. Self, T. van Kerkoerle, R. Goebel, P. R. Roelfsema, Benchmarking laminar fMRI: Neuronal spiking and synaptic activity during top-down and bottom-up processing in the different layers of cortex. *Neuroimage* **197**, 806–817 (2019).
- S. J. D. Lawrence, E. Formisano, L. Muckli, F. P. de Lange, Laminar fMRI: Applications for cognitive neuroscience. *Neuroimage* 197, 785–791 (2019).
- J. F. M. Jehee, S. Ling, J. D. Swisher, R. S. Van Bergen, F. Tong, Perceptual learning selectively refines orientation representations in early visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 16747–16753 (2012).
- A. Schoups, R. Vogels, N. Qian, G. Orban, Practising orientation identification improves orientation coding in V1 neurons. *Nature* 412, 549–553 (2001).
- L. Q. Xiao, J. Y. Zhang, R. Wang, S. A. Klein, D. M. Levi, C. Yu, Complete transfer of perceptual learning across retinal locations enabled by double training. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1922–1926 (2008).
- Y. Z. Xiong, J. Y. Zhang, C. Yu, Bottom-up and top-down influences at untrained conditions determine perceptual learning specificity and transfer. *eLife* 5, e14614 (2016).
- K. Jia, E. Zamboni, V. Kemper, C. Rua, N. R. Goncalves, A. K. T. Ng, C. T. Rodgers, G. Williams, R. Goebel, Z. Kourtzi, Recurrent processing drives perceptual plasticity. *Curr. Biol.* 30, 4177–4187.e4 (2020).
- J. Gold, P. J. Bennett, A. B. Sekuler, Signal but not noise changes with perceptual learning. Nature 402, 176–178 (1999).
- M. L. Caras, D. H. Sanes, Top-down modulation of sensory cortex gates perceptual learning. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 9972–9977 (2017).
- Y. Yan, M. J. Rasch, M. Chen, X. Xiang, M. Huang, S. Wu, W. Li, Perceptual training continuously refines neuronal population codes in primary visual cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 1380–1387 (2014).
- H. Z. Adab, R. Vogels, Practicing coarse orientation discrimination improves orientation signals in macaque cortical area V4. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 1661–1666 (2011).
- D. Ferster, K. D. Miller, Neural mechanisms of orientation selectivity in the visual cortex. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 23, 441–471 (2000).
- H. Yu, X. Chen, C. Sun, T. Shou, Global evaluation of contributions of GABA_A, AMPA and NMDA receptors to orientation maps in cat's visual cortex. *Neuroimage* 40, 776–787 (2008).
- K. Shibata, Y. Sasaki, J. W. Bang, E. G. Walsh, M. G. Machizawa, M. Tamaki, L.-H. Chang, T. Watanabe, Overlearning hyperstabilizes a skill by rapidly making neurochemical processing inhibitory-dominant. *Nat. Neurosci.* 20, 470–475 (2017).
- J. W. Bang, K. Shibata, S. M. Frank, E. G. Walsh, M. W. Greenlee, T. Watanabe, Y. Sasaki, Consolidation and reconsolidation share behavioural and neurochemical mechanisms. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* 2, 507–513 (2018).
- P. Frangou, U. E. Emir, V. M. Karlaftis, C. Nettekoven, E. L. Hinson, S. Larcombe, H. Bridge, C. J. Stagg, Z. Kourtzi, Learning to optimize perceptual decisions through suppressive interactions in the human brain. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 474 (2019).
- J. J. Ziminski, P. Frangou, V. M. Karlaftis, U. Emir, Z. Kourtzi, Microstructural and neurochemical plasticity mechanisms interact to enhance human perceptual decision-making. *PLOS Biol.* 21, e3002029 (2023).
- J. Zhang, A. Meeson, A. E. Welchman, Z. Kourtzi, Learning alters the tuning of functional magnetic resonance imaging patterns for visual forms. J. Neurosci. 30, 14127–14133 (2010).
- J. Dobres, A. R. Seitz, Perceptual learning of oriented gratings as revealed by classification images. J. Vis. 10, 8 (2010).

- N. Dupuis-Roy, F. Gosselin, Perceptual learning without signal. Vision Res. 47, 349–356 (2007).
- C.-T. Law, J. I. Gold, Neural correlates of perceptual learning in a sensory-motor, but not a sensory, cortical area. *Nat. Neurosci.* 11, 505–513 (2008).
- R. A. E. Edden, S. D. Muthukumaraswamy, T. C. A. Freeman, K. D. Singh, Orientation discrimination performance is predicted by GABA concentration and gamma oscillation frequency in human primary visual cortex. J. Neurosci. 29, 15721–15726 (2009).
- P. Frangou, M. Correia, Z. Kourtzi, GABA, not BOLD, reveals dissociable learningdependent plasticity mechanisms in the human brain. *eLife* 7, e35854 (2018).
- I. B. Ip, H. Bridge, Investigating the neurochemistry of the human visual system using magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 227, 1491–1505 (2022).
- C. Lunghi, U. E. Emir, M. C. Morrone, H. Bridge, Short-term monocular deprivation alters GABA in the adult human visual cortex. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 1496–1501 (2015).
- 40. C. J. Stagg, V. Bachtiar, H. Johansen-Berg, The role of GABA in human motor learning. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, 480–484 (2011).
- T. Yamada, T. Watanabe, Y. Sasaki, Plasticity–stability dynamics during post-training processing of learning. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 28, 72–83 (2023).
- I. B. Ip, U. E. Emir, A. J. Parker, J. Campbell, H. Bridge, Comparison of neurochemical and BOLD signal contrast response functions in the human visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 39, 7968–7975 (2019).
- R. S. Koolschijn, A. Shpektor, W. T. Clarke, I. Betina Ip, D. Dupret, U. E. Emir, H. C. Barron, Memory recall involves a transient break in excitatory-inhibitory balance. *eLife* 10, e70071 (2021).
- 44. M. Wehr, A. M. Zador, Balanced inhibition underlies tuning and sharpens spike timing in auditory cortex. *Nature* **426**, 442–446 (2003).
- K. M. Hagihara, K. Ohki, Long-term down-regulation of GABA decreases orientation selectivity without affecting direction selectivity in mouse primary visual cortex. Front. Neural Circuits 7, 28 (2013).
- G. Li, Y. Yang, Z. Liang, J. Xia, Y. Yang, Y. Zhou, GABA-mediated inhibition correlates with orientation selectivity in primary visual cortex of cat. *Neuroscience* **155**, 914–922 (2008).
- S. Shushruth, P. Mangapathy, J. M. Ichida, P. C. Bressloff, L. Schwabe, A. Angelucci, Strong recurrent networks compute the orientation tuning of surround modulation in the primate primary visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 308–321 (2012).
- R. J. Douglas, K. A. C. Martin, Recurrent neuronal circuits in the neocortex. *Curr. Biol.* 17, R496–R500 (2007).
- C. D. Gilbert, T. Wiesel, Clustered intrinsic connections in cat visual cortex. J. Neurosci. 3, 1116–1133 (1983).
- K. S. RockLand, D. N. Pandya, Laminar origins and terminations of cortical connections of the occipital lobe in the rhesus monkey. *Brain Res.* 179, 3–20 (1979).
- A. G. Khan, J. Poort, A. Chadwick, A. Blot, M. Sahani, T. D. Mrsic-flogel, S. B. Hofer, Distinct learning-induced changes in stimulus selectivity and interactions of GABAergic interneuron classes in visual cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 851–859 (2018).
- J. Poort, K. A. Wilmes, A. Blot, A. Chadwick, M. Sahani, C. Clopath, T. D. Mrsic-flogel, S. B. Hofer, A. G. Khan, Learning and attention increase visual response selectivity through distinct mechanisms. *Neuron* **110**, 686–697.e6 (2022).
- S. Moeller, E. Yacoub, C. A. Olman, E. Auerbach, J. Strupp, N. Harel, K. Uğurbil, Multiband multislice GE-EPI at 7 tesla, with 16-fold acceleration using partial parallel imaging with application to high spatial and temporal whole-brain FMRI. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 63, 1144–1153 (2010).
- R. L. Rademaker, C. Chunharas, J. T. Serences, Coexisting representations of sensory and mnemonic information in human visual cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 22, 1336–1344 (2019).
- J. Stelzer, Y. Chen, R. Turner, Statistical inference and multiple testing correction in classification-based multi-voxel pattern analysis (MVPA): Random permutations and cluster size control. *Neuroimage* 65, 69–82 (2013).
- C. R. Pernet, R. Wilcox, G. A. Rousselet, Robust correlation analyses: False positive and power validation using a new open source matlab toolbox. *Front. Psychol.* 3, 606 (2013).
- M. Dubol, C. N. Epperson, J. Sacher, B. Pletzer, B. Derntl, R. Lanzenberger,
 I. Sundström-Poromaa, E. Comasco, Neuroimaging the menstrual cycle: A multimodal systematic review. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* **60**, 100878 (2021).
- C. N. Epperson, S. O'Malley, K. A. Czarkowski, R. Gueorguieva, P. Jatlow, G. Sanacora, D. L. Rothman, J. H. Krystal, G. F. Mason, Sex, GABA, and nicotine: The impact of smoking on cortical GABA levels across the menstrual cycle as measured with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Biol. Psychiatry* 57, 44–48 (2005).
- C. Neill Epperson, K. Haga, G. F. Mason, E. Sellers, R. Gueorguieva, W. Zhang, E. Weiss, D. L. Rothman, J. H. Krystal, Cortical γ-aminobutyric acid levels across the menstrual cycle in healthy women and those with premenstrual dysphoric disorder: A proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 59, 851–858 (2002).
- M. Harada, H. Kubo, A. Nose, H. Nishitani, T. Matsuda, Measurement of variation in the human cerebral GABA level by in vivo MEGA-editing proton MR spectroscopy using a clinical 3 T instrument and its dependence on brain region and the female menstrual cycle. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 32, 828–833 (2011).

- T. De Bondt, F. De Belder, F. Vanhevel, Y. Jacquemyn, P. M. Parizel, Prefrontal GABA concentration changes in women—Influence of menstrual cycle phase, hormonal contraceptive use, and correlation with premenstrual symptoms. *Brain Res.* 1597, 129–138 (2015).
- B. Liu, G. Wang, D. Gao, F. Gao, B. Zhao, M. Qiao, H. Yang, Y. Yu, F. Ren, P. Yang, W. Chen, C. D. Rae, Alterations of GABA and glutamate-glutamine levels in premenstrual dysphoric disorder: A 3T proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Psychiatry Res.* 231, 64–70 (2015).
- J. Arrubla, D. H. Y. Tse, C. Amkreutz, I. Neuner, N. J. Shah, GABA concentration in posterior cingulate cortex predicts putamen response during resting state fMRI. *PLOS ONE* 9, e106609 (2014).
- T. Bell, E. S. Boudes, R. S. Loo, G. J. Barker, D. J. Lythgoe, R. A. E. Edden, R. M. Lebel, M. Wilson, A. D. Harris, In vivo Glx and Glu measurements from GABA-edited MRS at 3 T. *NMR Biomed.* 34, e4245 (2021).
- T. Bunai, T. Hirosawa, M. Kikuchi, M. Fukai, M. Yokokura, S. Ito, Y. Takata, T. Terada, Y. Ouchi, tDCS-induced modulation of GABA concentration and dopamine release in the human brain: A combination study of magnetic resonance spectroscopy and positron emission tomography. *Brain Stimul.* 14, 154–160 (2021).
- K. Heimrath, A. Brechmann, R. Blobel-Lüer, J. Stadler, E. Budinger, T. Zaehle, Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) over the auditory cortex modulates GABA and glutamate: A 7 T MR-spectroscopy study. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 20111 (2020).
- L. F. Kaiser, T. O. J. Gruendler, O. Speck, L. Luettgau, G. Jocham, Dissociable roles of cortical excitation-inhibition balance during patch-leaving versus value-guided decisions. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 904 (2021).
- N. Levar, T. J. Van Doesum, D. Denys, G. A. Van Wingen, Anterior cingulate GABA and glutamate concentrations are associated with resting-state network connectivity. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 2116 (2019).
- M. Mikkelsen, A. D. Harris, R. A. E. Edden, N. A. J. Puts, Macromolecule-suppressed GABA measurements correlate more strongly with behavior than macromoleculecontaminated GABA+ measurements. *Brain Res.* **1701**, 204–211 (2018).
- L. R. Silberbauer, B. Spurny, P. Handschuh, M. Klöbl, P. Bednarik, B. Reiter, V. Ritter, P. Trost, M. E. Konadu, M. Windpassinger, T. Stimpfl, W. Bogner, R. Lanzenberger, M. Spies, Effect of ketamine on limbic GABA and glutamate: A human in vivo multivoxel magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Front. Psych.* **11**, 549903 (2020).
- A. Takacs, A. K. Stock, P. Kuntke, A. Werner, C. Beste, On the functional role of striatal and anterior cingulate GABA+ in stimulus-response binding. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 42, 1863–1878 (2021).
- C. Barth, A. Villringer, J. Sacher, Sex hormones affect neurotransmitters and shape the adult female brain during hormonal transition periods. *Front. Neurosci.* 9, 37 (2015).
- D. G. Pelli, The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: Transforming numbers into movies. Spat. Vis. 10, 437–442 (1997).
- 74. D. H. Brainard, The psychophysics toolbox. Spat. Vis. 10, 433–436 (1997).
- G. Öz, I. Tkáč, Short-echo, single-shot, full-intensity proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy for neurochemical profiling at 4 T: Validation in the cerebellum and brainstem. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 65, 901–910 (2011).
- C. Lemke, A. Hess, S. Clare, V. Bachtiar, C. Stagg, P. Jezzard, U. Emir, Two-voxel spectroscopy with dynamic B0 shimming and flip angle adjustment at 7 T in the human motor cortex. *NMR Biomed.* 28, 852–860 (2015).
- M. Terpstra, I. Cheong, T. Lyu, D. K. Deelchand, U. E. Emir, P. Bednařík, L. E. Eberly, G. Öz, Test-retest reproducibility of neurochemical profiles with short-echo, single-voxel MR spectroscopy at 3T and 7T. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **76**, 1083–1091 (2016).
- B. L. van de Bank, U. E. Emir, V. O. Boer, J. J. A. van Asten, M. C. Maas, J. P. Wijnen, H. E. Kan, G. Oz, D. W. J. Klomp, T. W. J. Scheenen, Multi-center reproducibility of neurochemical profiles in the human brain at 7 T. *NMR Biomed.* 28, 306–316 (2015).
- G. Zacharopoulos, F. Sella, U. Emir, R. Cohen Kadosh, Dissecting the chain of information processing and its interplay with neurochemicals and fluid intelligence across development. *eLife* **12**, e84086 (2023).
- I. Tkáč, Z. Starčuk, I.-Y. Choi, R. Gruetter, In vivo¹H NMR spectroscopy of rat brain at 1 ms echo time. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 41, 649–656 (1999).
- R. Gruetter, I. Tkáč, Field mapping without reference scan using asymmetric echo-planar techniques. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 43, 319–323 (2000).
- M. D. Waehnert, J. Dinse, M. Weiss, M. N. Streicher, P. Waehnert, S. Geyer, R. Turner, P. L. Bazin, Anatomically motivated modeling of cortical laminae. *Neuroimage* 93, 210–220 (2014).
- V. G. Kemper, F. De Martino, T. C. Emmerling, E. Yacoub, R. Goebel, High resolution data analysis strategies for mesoscale human functional MRI at 7 and 9.4 T. *Neuroimage* 164, 48–58 (2018).
- D. N. Greve, B. Fischl, Accurate and robust brain image alignment using boundary-based registration. *Neuroimage* 48, 63–72 (2009).
- L. Wang, R. E. B. Mruczek, M. J. Arcaro, S. Kastner, Probabilistic maps of visual topography in human cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 3911–3931 (2015).
- K. Uludağ, B. Müller-Bierl, K. Uğurbil, An integrative model for neuronal activity-induced signal changes for gradient and spin echo functional imaging. *Neuroimage* 48, 150–165 (2009).

- K. Uğurbil, L. Toth, D. S. Kim, How accurate is magnetic resonance imaging of brain function? *Trends Neurosci.* 26, 108–114 (2003).
- E. Yacoub, P. F. Van De Moortele, A. Shmuel, K. Uğurbil, Signal and noise characteristics of Hahn SE and GE BOLD fMRI at 7 T in humans. *Neuroimage* 24, 738–750 (2005).
- H. M. Duvernoy, S. Delon, J. L. Vannson, Cortical blood vessels of the human brain. Brain Res. Bull. 7, 519–579 (1981).
- A. K. T. Ng, K. Jia, N. R. Goncalves, E. Zamboni, V. G. Kemper, R. Goebel, A. E. Welchman, Z. Kourtzi, Ultra-high-field neuroimaging reveals fine-scale processing for 3d perception. *J. Neurosci.* 41, 8362–8374 (2021).
- K. Jia, E. Zamboni, C. Rua, N. R. Goncalves, V. Kemper, A. K. T. Ng, C. T. Rodgers, G. Williams, R. Goebel, Z. Kourtzi, A protocol for ultra-high field laminar fMRI in the human brain. *STAR Protoc.* 2, 100415 (2021).
- C. A. Olman, S. Inati, D. J. Heeger, The effect of large veins on spatial localization with GE BOLD at 3 T: Displacement, not blurring. *Neuroimage* 34, 1126–1135 (2007).
- J. R. Polimeni, B. Fischl, D. N. Greve, L. L. Wald, Laminar analysis of 7T BOLD using an imposed spatial activation pattern in human V1. *Neuroimage* 52, 1334–1346 (2010).
- S. Kashyap, D. Ivanov, M. Havlicek, B. A. Poser, K. Uludağ, Impact of acquisition and analysis strategies on cortical depth-dependent fMRI. *Neuroimage* 168, 332–344 (2018).
- G. J. Brouwer, D. J. Heeger, Decoding and reconstructing color from responses in human visual cortex. J. Neurosci. 29, 13992–14003 (2009).
- 96. S. W. Provencher, Automatic quantitation of localized *in vivo* 1H spectra with LCModel. *NMR Biomed.* **14**, 260–264 (2001).
- P. Bednařík, I. Tkáč, G. Federico, M. DiNuzzo, D. K. Deelchand, U. E. Emir, L. E. Eberly, S. Mangia, Neurochemical and BOLD responses during neuronal activation measured in the human visual cortex at 7 Tesla. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 35, 601–610 (2015).
- W. Bogner, S. Gruber, M. Doelken, A. Stadlbauer, O. Ganslandt, U. Boettcher, S. Trattnig, A. Doerfler, H. Stefan, T. Hammen, In vivo quantification of intracerebral GABA by single-voxel 1H-MRS-How reproducible are the results? *Eur. J. Radiol.* **73**, 526–531 (2010).
- M. J. Donahue, J. Near, J. U. Blicher, P. Jezzard, Baseline GABA concentration and fMRI response. *Neuroimage* 53, 392–398 (2010).
- C. Sampaio-Baptista, N. Filippini, C. J. Stagg, J. Near, J. Scholz, H. Johansen-Berg, Changes in functional connectivity and GABA levels with long-term motor learning. *Neuroimage* 106, 15–20 (2015).
- J. Kolasinski, J. P. Logan, E. L. Hinson, D. Manners, A. P. Divanbeighi Zand, T. R. Makin, U. E. Emir, C. J. Stagg, A mechanistic link from GABA to cortical architecture and perception. *Curr. Biol.* 27, 1685–1691.e3 (2017).
- 102. S. Kühn, F. Schubert, R. Mekle, E. Wenger, B. Ittermann, U. Lindenberger, J. Gallinat, Neurotransmitter changes during interference task in anterior cingulate cortex: Evidence from fMRI-guided functional MRS at 3 T. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 221, 2541–2551 (2016).
- A. D. Harris, N. A. J. Puts, R. A. E. Edden, Tissue correction for GABA-edited MRS: Considerations of voxel composition, tissue segmentation, and tissue relaxations. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 42, 1431–1440 (2015).
- S. Quadrelli, C. Mountford, S. Ramadan, Hitchhiker's guide to voxel segmentation for partial volume correction of in vivo magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Magn. Reson. Insights* 9, 1–8 (2016).

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank R. Goebel and the MRI Physics team at Scannexus Imaging Centre, University of Maastricht for supporting on MRI sequence development. A. Papadopoulos and A. Fernandez for technical support, and Y. Gao for assistance with the figures. We are grateful to S. Li for helpful comments and suggestions on this work. Funding: This work was supported by Wellcome Trust 205067/Z/16/Z (Z.K.), Wellcome Trust 223131/Z/21/Z (Z.K.), Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council H012508 (Z.K.), Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council BB/P021255/1 (Z.K.), National Natural Science Foundation of China 32300855 (K.J.), Non-profit Central Research Institute Fund of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences 2023-PT310-01 (K.J.), and European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska Curie grant agreement no. 840271 (K.J.). Author contributions: Conceptualization: K.J., M.W., U.E., and Z.K. Methodology: K.J., M.W., U.E., and Z.K. Investigation: K.J., M.W., C.S., and U.E. Software: K.J., M.W., J.J.Z., and U.E. Resources: K.J., U.E., and Z.K. Data curation: K.J., M.W., and Z.K. Formal analysis: K.J., M.W., C.S., J.J.Z., Y.X., and U.E. Validation: K.J., M.W., Y.X., U.E., and Z.K. Visualization: K.J. and M.W. Writing—original draft: K.J., M.W., and Z.K. Writing—review and editing: K.J., M.W., C.S., and Z.K. Funding acquisition: K.J. and Z.K. Project administration: K.J. and Z.K. Supervision: Z.K. Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Data plotted in the figures are available at the University of Cambridge Repository: https://doi.org/10.17863/ CAM.108929.

Submitted 19 February 2024 Accepted 26 June 2024 Published 31 July 2024 10.1126/sciadv.ado7378